

Appl. No. 10/664,560  
Docket No. 9364  
Reply dated April 25, 2011  
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on March 17, 2011  
Customer No. 27752

#### REMARKS

##### Claim Status

New claims 28-42 are pending in the Subject Application. Claims 1, 2, 10-12, 14, and 15 have been cancelled without disclaimer or prejudice. Claims 3-9, 13, and 16-27 were previously cancelled without disclaimer or prejudice. Applicants reserve the right to present the cancelled claims in continuing applications. No additional claims fee is believed to be due at this time. Applicants respectfully submit that the new claims do not involve any introduction of new matter. Consequently, entry of these new claims is believed to be in order and is respectfully requested.

Applicants respectfully submit that all of the rejections in the Examiner's Answer are mooted by the Applicants' cancellation of Claims 1, 2, 10-12, 14, and 15.

The Examiner's Answer, on page 4, states that "[t]he examiner has interpreted the consumer accessible tab of Hartbauer as being the overlapping portion and fold. See components '306' and '308' of Figure 12 and '304', '306' and '308' of Figure 13."

##### New Claims

New Claims 28-42 are presented herein with Claims 28, 35, and 38 being the independent claims. Applicants respectfully submit that new Claims 28-42 include features that are not taught or suggested by any of the references cited in the Examiner's Answer. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request allowance of independent Claims 28, 35, and 38, and the claims depending therefrom.

##### Miscellaneous

The Applicants respectfully submit that the dependent claims pending herein are allowable at least by virtue of their dependency from the independent claims which, as the Applicants describe above, are respectfully patentable over the cited references. The Applicants reserve the right, however, to make supplemental arguments as may be necessary, because the dependent claims of the Subject Application include additional features that further distinguish the claims from the cited references. A detailed

Appl. No. 10/664,560  
Docket No. 9364  
Reply dated April 25, 2011  
Reply to Examiner's Answer mailed on March 17, 2011  
Customer No. 27752

discussion of these distinctions is believed to be unnecessary at this time in view of the fundamental distinctions already set forth in the above remarks.

Conclusion

This response represents an earnest effort to place the Subject Application in proper form and to distinguish the claimed invention from the applied references. In view of the foregoing, entry of the new claims presented herein, reconsideration of the Subject Application, and allowance of the pending claims are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY

By: /Christian M. Best/  
Christian M. Best  
Registration No. 49,082  
(513) 983-5546

Date: April 25, 2011  
Customer No. 27752